Case \$17-cv-00123-AB-JPR Document 37 Filed 01/30/18 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:175

TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND 1 THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that plaintiff Melvyn Klein hereby submits the 3 foregoing exhibits in connection with the Stipulation of Settlement that was filed 4 with the Court on January 29, 2018, docket entry number 36-1. 5 6 DATED: January 30, 2018 THE WAGNER FIRM 7 8 By: s/Avi Wagner Avi Wagner 9 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 10 Telephone: (310) 491-7949 11 Facsimile: (310) 694-3967 12 Email: avi@thewagnerfirm.com 13 **GAINEY McKENNA & EGLESTON** 14 Thomas J. McKenna 440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor 15 New York, NY 10016 16 Tel: (212) 983-1300 Fax: (212) 983-0383 17 tjmckenna@gme-law.com 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC POSTING

I, the undersigned say:

I am not a party to the above case, and am over eighteen years old. On January 30, 2018, I served true and correct copies of the foregoing document, by posting the document electronically to the ECF website of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, for receipt electronically by the parties listed on the Court's Service List.

I affirm under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 30, 2018, at Los Angeles, California.

<u>s/Avi Wagner</u> Avi Wagner

Mailing Information for a Case 8:17-cv-00123-AB-JPR Melvyn Klein v. Stephen H. Gordon et al

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• Eric A Kuwana

eric.kuwana@kattenlaw.com,kelsey.matusak@kattenlaw.com,caitlin.munley@kattenlaw.com

• Michael J Lohnes

michael.lohnes@kattenlaw.com,rosie.gradilla@kattenlaw.com,ecfdocket@kattenlaw.com

• Thomas J McKenna

tjmckenna@gme-law.com,tjmlaw2001@yahoo.com,gmelawny@gmail.com

• Samantha Swietlikowski

samantha.swietlikowski@kattenlaw.com

• Bruce G Vanyo

bruce@kattenlaw.com,kelsey.matusak@kattenlaw.com,caitlin.munley@kattenlaw.com,ecf.lax.docket@kattenlaw.com

• Avi N Wagner

avi@thewagnerfirm.com,anwagneresq@hotmail.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

• (No manual recipients)

1 of 2 1/30/2018 6:21 PM

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REFORMS FOR DERIVATIVE SETTLEMENT

Initial Reforms

Opus Bank ("Opus" or the "Bank") acknowledges that the filing and pendency of *Klein v. Gordon, et al.*, Case No. 8:17-cv-00123-JLS-JCG (U.S. Dist. Ct., C.D. Cal.) and *Dillard Gordon, et al.*, Case No. BC651522 (Cal Super. Ct., L.A. Cnty.) (together, the "Actions") comprised a substantial contributing factor to the decision by the Bank to implement the five initial corporate governance reforms below ("Initial Reforms"), which occurred after the Actions were commenced.

- Improving the Bank's credit infrastructure, including changes to credit administration, underwriting and ongoing portfolio management processes;
- Refining the Bank's credit risk appetite;
- Remediating the Bank's credit issues, including reducing the balances of criticized loans and continuing on planned loan relationship exits;
- Implementing additional training regarding the credit review process, impairment methodology, and the Bank's impairment framework; and

• Adopting a new director retirement policy.

Corporate Governance Reforms

Within 60 days of the Court's entering the Final Judgment, Opus's Board of Directors ("Board") shall adopt resolutions and amend Board Committee Charters to the extent necessary to adhere to the eight corporate governance policies and enhancements set forth below("Corporate Governance Reforms"), which shall remain in effect for no less than two (2) years unless otherwise stated herein.

Opus acknowledges and agrees that both of the Plaintiffs in the Actions ("Plaintiffs") were a precipitating and material factor in the adoption and implementation of the Corporate Governance Reforms by the Bank, and that the Corporate Governance Reforms, as well as the foregoing Initial Reforms, confer a substantial benefit to the Bank and its shareholders.

• Independent Third-Party Review of Outstanding Loan Portfolio. The Bank will engage a third-party loan review firm to conduct an independent review of a sampling of 10% the Bank's outstanding commercial loan portfolio for loans in excess of \$1,000,000 in 2018 and 2019. If material problems are discovered in the commercial loan portfolio, then the Bank will take further action that is in the best interest of the Bank.

- *Creation of Chief Compliance Officer Position*. The Board shall direct the Bank to create the position of Chief Compliance Officer, who shall report directly to the Chief Risk Officer and the Risk Oversight Committee. The duties of the Chief Compliance Officer shall include, but are not limited to:
 - o Monitoring adherence to the Bank's compliance program, including but not limited to, completion of all required and assigned training modules by established due dates;
 - o Ensuring compliance with all laws and regulations applicable to the position (i.e., all required Alpha Regs);
 - o Managing the Bank's compliance program, including policies, procedures, systems monitoring, technology-based controls, and training, as well as compliance monitoring and testing;
 - O Collaborating with other departments (i.e. Internal Audit, Risk Management, etc.) to direct compliance issues to appropriate existing channels for investigation and resolution. Consulting with Legal as needed to resolved respective legal compliance issues as needed;
 - o Responding to alleged violations of rules, regulations, policies and procedures by evaluating or recommending the initiation of investigative procedures. Developing and overseeing a system for

uniform handling of such violations;

- o Monitoring, and as necessary, coordinating compliance activities of other departments to remain abreast of the status of all compliance activities and to identify trends;
- o Identifying potential areas of compliance vulnerability and risk; developing/implementing corrective action plans for resolution of problematic issues, and providing general guidance on how to avoid or deal with similar situations in the future;
- o Instituting and maintaining an effective compliance communication program for the Bank, including understanding of new and existing compliance issues and related policies and procedures;
- o Working with the Human Resources Department and others as appropriate to develop an effective compliance training program, including appropriate introductory training for new employees as well as ongoing training for all employees, management, and members of the Board;
- o Providing effective leadership in enhancing and sustaining
 Opus's compliance programs to ensure they correspond
 commensurate with equivalent sized bank programs and practices

and in line with the expectations of federal and state regulatory authorities;

- o Assessing and monitoring the compliance risks associated with the Bank's business activities, operations, and products and services;
- o Serving as a point of contact for financial regulators and managing the bank's responses to regulatory inquiries/findings and preparation for compliance examinations;
- o Performing Compliance Risk Assessments and reviewing results with executive and senior management;
- o Ensuring satisfaction of CCO requirements for Dodd-Frank;
- On a regular basis, reporting to the Executive Committee and Board of Directors on compliance matters, including actual or potential compliance program violations;
- o Overseeing the Corporate Complaint process.

The Chief Compliance Officer will report promptly any allegations of violations of the compliance policies to the Chief Risk Officer and Risk Oversight Committee.

Written reports by the Chief Compliance Officer related to compliance activities shall be provided to the Risk Oversight Committee.

• Separate Audit and Risk Oversight Committees. The Bank shall maintain

- separate Audit and Risk Oversight Committees of the Board of Directors, and these committees shall have separate directors serving as Chairs.
- whistleblower Hotline. The Bank's whistleblower hotline shall be monitored by an independent, third-party supplier. The whistleblower hotline shall provide an anonymous communication channel for employees and other stakeholders to report their concerns regarding, among other things, the integrity of the Bank's public disclosures, internal controls, auditing, financial reporting, accounting matters, insider sales and other matters. The whistleblower hotline reports shall be reported to the Chief Compliance Officer (as referred to herein) and the Chair of the Audit Committee.
- Quarterly Executive Sessions with Head of Credit Review. The Risk Oversight Committee shall hold executive sessions with the Head of Credit Review on a quarterly basis. During these sessions, the Head of Credit Review shall provide the Risk Oversight Committee with an update regarding the status of the Credit Policy and Credit Review Plan, and notify the Risk Oversight Committee of any material issues, amendments, or developments related thereto.
- Quarterly Executive Sessions with Chief Risk Officer. The Risk Oversight

 Committee shall hold executive sessions with the Chief Risk Officer on a

quarterly basis. During these sessions, the Chief Risk Officer shall provide the Risk Oversight Committee with an update regarding the status of the Enterprise Risk Management program, quarterly provision for loan losses, adequacy of the ALLL, and adequacy of the review for impaired loans, and notify the Committee of any material issues, amendments, or developments related to the foregoing topics.

- *Training*. The Bank will (1) engage a third party to provide training regarding financial accounting for lenders and commercial loans for businesses, (2) provide internal training regarding rating changes, and (3) send relevant employees to off-site risk related seminars and/or conferences. The enhanced credit-focused training will be provided to the following employees:
 - o CAST (Credit Analysts)
 - Corporate Finance (Underwriters/Portfolio Managers/Portfolio Analysts)
 - Healthcare Banking (Underwriters/Portfolio
 Managers/Portfolio Analysts)
 - o Institutional Syndications (Underwriters/Portfolio Managers)
 - o Asset Based Lending (Underwriters/Portfolio

Managers/Collateral Analysts)

- o Small Business Banking (Underwriters/Portfolio Managers)
- Commercial Banking: Orange County, San Diego, Arizona,
 Los Angeles, Pacific Northwest (Underwriters/Portfolio
 Managers/Portfolio Analysts)
- o Public Finance (Portfolio Manager/Underwriter)
- o Media and Entertainment (Underwriter/Portfolio Manager)

 Additionally, Senior Credit Administrators and Underwriters and Portfolio

 Managers from the CREB group will be included in both internal and

 external trainings as applicable.
- *Posting of Code of Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines*. The Risk Oversight Committee shall ensure that the Bank's Code of Ethics and Corporate Governance Guidelines are easily accessible via Opus's intranet.

EXHIBIT B

1 2 3 MELVYN KLEIN, Derivatively on 4 Behalf of Nominal Defendant OPUS BANK, 5 Plaintiff, 6 V. 7 STEPHEN H. GORDON, MARK CICIRELLI, MARK E. SCHAFFER, 8 MICHAEL MEYER, ROBERT SHACKLETON, THOMAS M. 9 BOWERS, CURTIS A. GLOVIER, and DAVID KING, 10 Defendants, 11 And 12 OPUS BANK, a California Corporation, 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Nominal Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 8:17-CV-00123(AB)(JPR)

[PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

Judge: Hon. André Birotte, Jr. Courtroom: 7B – First Street Action Filed: January 24, 2017

Presented to the court for preliminary approval is a settlement of all claims asserted against all Defendants in the above-captioned action (the "Klein Action"). The terms of the Settlement are set out in a Stipulation of Settlement executed by counsel for the Parties as of January 29, 2018 ("Stipulation"). All capitalized terms used herein have the meanings set forth and defined in the Stipulation.

The Court, upon reviewing the Stipulation, declares that it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the *Klein* Action, the Settlement, and over the Parties to the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court preliminarily finds that the proposed Settlement should be approved as being fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of Opus Bank ("Opus") and its shareholders.

- 3. In connection with preliminary approval of the proposed Settlement, the Court preliminarily finds, for purposes of the Settlement, that the *Klein* Action was properly brought pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 as a shareholder derivative action on behalf of Opus, and that Plaintiff fairly and adequately represents the interests of Opus shareholders in enforcing the rights of Opus.
- 5. Opus shall cause the notice of the proposed Settlement, including the date and time of the Final Hearing to be filed on Form 8-K with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission; published in a press release and/or published in *Investor's Business Daily*; and to be posted, together with the Stipulation, on the Investors Relations portion of Opus's website within ten (10) calendar days following the entry of this Order, which notice of the proposed Settlement shall be substantially in the form of the Notice attached as Exhibit B-1 to the Stipulation. Such notice is hereby found to be reasonable and sufficient under the circumstances, and to comply

with the provisions of Rule 23.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process of the United States Constitution, and to constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons affected by the proposed Settlement and entitled to participate in the Final Hearing. Non-material changes to the form of the Notice may be made upon agreement by the Parties without further approval of the Court.

- 6. At least ten (10) days prior to the Final Hearing, counsel for Opus shall file proof by declaration of the filing and publication of the Notice, and of the posting of the Notice and Stipulation as set forth in Paragraph 5 above.
- 7. Any Opus Shareholder who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement, to any term of the Stipulation, or to the proposed Fee and Expense Award and Service Awards, may file an objection. An objector must file with the Court a written statement of his, her or its objection(s): (a) clearly indicating that objector's name, mailing address, daytime telephone number, and e-mail address (if any); (b) stating that the objector is objecting to the proposed Settlement and/or proposed Fee and Expense Award and Service Awards; (c) specifying the reason(s), if any, for each such objection made, including any legal support and/or evidence that such objector wishes to bring to the Court's attention or introduce in support of such objection; and (d) identifying and supplying documentation showing how many shares of Opus common stock the objector owned as of January 29, 2018, when the objector purchased or otherwise acquired such shares, and whether the objector still owns any such Opus shares.
- 8. The objector must file such objections and supporting documentation with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. District Court Central District of California, Western Division, First Street Federal Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street Los Angeles, CA 90012, not later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Hearing, and, by the same date, copies of all such papers must also be received by each of the following persons:

2

45

6

7 8

9

10

1112

1314

16

15

1718

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

2728

Counsel for Plaintiff Klein:

Thomas J. McKenna GAINEY McKENNA & EGLESTON 440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor New York, NY 10016

Counsel for Plaintiff Dillard:

Laurence M. Rosen THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A. 275 Madison Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10016

Counsel for Defendants and Opus:

Eric A. Kuwana KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 2900 K Street NW North Tower - Suite 200 Washington, DC 20007

An objector may file an objection on his, her or its own or through an attorney hired at his, her or its own expense. If an objector hires an attorney to represent him, her or it for the purposes of making such objection pursuant to this paragraph, the attorney must effect service of a notice of appearance on the counsel listed above and file such notice with the Court no later than twenty-one (21) days before the Final Hearing. Any Opus Shareholder who does not timely file and serve a written objection complying with the terms of this paragraph shall be deemed to have waived, and shall be foreclosed from raising, any objection to the Settlement, and any untimely objection shall be barred. Any submissions by the Parties in support of final approval of the Settlement shall be filed with the Court and served at least twenty-eight (28) days before the Final Hearing, and any submissions by the Parties in opposition or response to objections shall be filed with the Court no later than seven (7) days before the Final Hearing.

9. Any objector who files and serves a timely, written objection in accordance with the instructions above and herein, may appear at the Final Hearing either in person or through counsel retained at the objector's expense. Objectors need not attend the Final Hearing, however, in order to have their objections considered by

the Court. Timely objectors or their attorneys intending to appear at the Final Hearing are required to indicate in their written objection (or in a separate writing submitted to the counsel listed in the preceding paragraph no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Hearing) that they intend to appear at the Final Hearing and identify any witnesses they may call to testify and exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the Final Hearing. Objectors or their attorneys intending to appear at the Final Hearing must also, no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Hearing, file with the Court, and serve upon counsel listed in the above paragraph, a notice of intention to appear, setting forth the name and address of anyone intending to appear. Any objector who does not timely file and serve a notice of intention to appear in accordance with this paragraph shall not be permitted to appear at the Final Hearing, except for good cause shown.

- 10. Defendants' Counsel and Plaintiffs' Counsel shall promptly furnish all Parties with copies of any and all objections and notices of intention to appear that come into their possession.
- 11. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Plaintiffs and all other Opus Shareholders, and anyone who acts or purports to act on their behalf, shall not institute, prosecute, participate in, or assist in the institution, prosecution, or assertion of, any Released Claim against any of the Released Persons.
- 12. This Order shall become null and void, and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties if the Settlement is terminated in accordance with the Stipulation. In such event, paragraph 17 of the Stipulation shall govern the rights of the Parties.
- 13. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or presumption by or against any of the Released Persons of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability or as a waiver by any Party of any arguments, defenses, or claims he, she, or it may have in the event that the Stipulation is terminated, nor shall it be

used in any manner prohibited by paragraph 19 of the Stipulation. In the event this Order becomes of no force or effect, it shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or presumption by or against the Released Persons or the Plaintiffs.

- 14. All proceedings in this action are stayed until further order of the Court, except as may be necessary to implement the Settlement or comply with the terms of the Stipulation and this Order. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over the *Klein* Action to consider all further matters arising out of or connected with the Settlement.
- 15. The Court reserves the right to approve the Stipulation and the Settlement with modifications agreed to by the Parties and without further notice to any Opus Shareholders. The Court further reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Hearing without further notice to Opus Shareholders, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further matters related to the *Klein* Action or the Settlement.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of ______, 2018.

The Honorable André Birotte, Jr. United States District Court Judge

EXHIBIT B-1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MELVYN KLEIN, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant OPUS BANK,

Plaintiff,

v.

STEPHEN H. GORDON, MARK CICIRELLI, MARK E. SCHAFFER, MICHAEL MEYER, ROBERT SHACKLETON, THOMAS M. BOWERS, CURTIS A. GLOVIER, and DAVID KING.

Defendants,

And

OPUS BANK, a California Corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No. 8:17-CV-00123(AB)(JPR)

NOTICE OF SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE ACTION, PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND SETTLEMENT HEARING

Judge: Hon. André Birotte, Jr. Courtroom: 7B – First Street Action Filed: January 24, 2017

TO: ALL PERSONS WHO OWNED OPUS BANK ("OPUS," OR THE "BANK") COMMON STOCK AS OF January 29, 2018.

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY BECAUSE YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED.

THIS IS NOT A SOLICITATION.

On January 29, 2018, Opus, in its capacity as a nominal defendant, entered into a Stipulation of Settlement (the "Stipulation") in the shareholder derivative actions¹ filed in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, styled *Klein v. Gordon, et al.*, No. 8:17-CV-00123(AB)(JPR) (C.D. Cal.) ("*Klein* action") and the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, styled *Dillard v. Gordon, et al.*, No. BC651522 (Cal Super. Ct., L.A. Cnty.) ("*Dillard* Action"), against certain current and former directors and officers of the Bank, and against the Bank as a nominal defendant. The Stipulation of Settlement executed by counsel for the Parties as of January 29, 2018 (the "Stipulation") and the Settlement contemplated therein

Error! Digit expected.

¹ A derivative claim is a claim brought by a shareholder on behalf of a company, rather than on behalf of himself or herself or the other shareholders of the company. The recovery sought in a derivative action is for the benefit of the company rather than directly for individual shareholders.

(the "Settlement"), including dismissal of all claims with prejudice in the *Klein* action, is subject to approval by the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (the "Court"), and is contingent on the California state court granting voluntary dismissal with prejudice of the *Dillard* Action. The proposed Settlement requires the Bank to adopt certain additional corporate governance reforms, as outlined in Exhibit A to the Stipulation, and provides for a Fee and Expense Award to Plaintiffs' Counsel to be determined at a later date subject to the mechanism described in the Stipulation at Section V, both of which are subject to Court approval.

This notice is a summary only and does not describe all of the details of the Stipulation. For full details of the matters discussed in this notice, please see the full Stipulation by visiting the Investor Relations portion of Opus's website, or contact Plaintiffs' Counsel at the address listed below. All capitalized terms used in this notice, unless otherwise defined herein, are defined as set forth in the Stipulation.

Summary

On ______ __, 2018, the Court entered an order preliminarily approving the Stipulation and the Settlement contemplated therein and providing for notice of the Settlement (the "Preliminary Approval Order"). The Preliminary Approval Order further provides that the Honorable André Birotte, Jr. in Courtroom 7B of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, First Street Federal Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1, to among other things: (i) determine whether the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of the Bank and its shareholders; (ii) consider any objections to the Settlement submitted in accordance with the Notice; (iii) determine whether a Final Judgment substantially in the form attached as Exhibit C to the Stipulation should be entered dismissing all claims in the Klein Action with prejudice and releasing the Released Claims against the Released Persons; (iv) consider the payment to Plaintiffs' Counsel of attorneys' fees and for the reimbursement of expenses; (v) consider the payment to Plaintiffs of Service Awards in an amount not to exceed \$2,500 each, which will be funded from the Fee and Expense Award; and (vi) consider any other matters that may properly be brought before the Court in connection with the Settlement.

Any Opus Shareholder who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement as set forth in the attached Stipulation, or to the proposed Fee and Expense Award and Service Awards, may file an objection. An objector must file with the Court a written statement of his, her or its objection(s): (a) clearly indicating that objector's name, mailing address, daytime telephone number, and e-mail address (if any); (b) stating that the objector is objecting to the proposed Settlement and/or proposed Fee and Expense Award and Service Awards; (c) specifying the reason(s), if any, for each such objection made, including any legal support and/or evidence that such objector wishes to bring to the Court's attention or introduce in support of such objection; and (d) identifying and supplying documentation showing how many shares of Opus common stock the objector owned as of January 29, 2018, when the objector purchased or otherwise acquired such shares, and proof that the objector still owns such Opus shares.

The objector must file such objections and supporting documentation with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. District Court Central District of California, Western Division, First Street Federal Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street Los Angeles, CA 90012, not later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Hearing, and, by the same date, copies of all such papers must also be received by each of the following persons:

Counsel for Plaintiff Klein:

Thomas J. McKenna GAINEY McKENNA & EGLESTON 440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor New York, NY 10016

Counsel for Plaintiff Dillard:

Laurence M. Rosen THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A. 275 Madison Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10016

Counsel for Defendants and Opus:

Eric A. Kuwana KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 2900 K Street NW North Tower - Suite 200 Washington, DC 20007

An objector may file an objection on his, her or its own or through an attorney hired at his, her or its own expense. If an objector hires an attorney to represent him, her or it for the purposes of making such objection pursuant to this paragraph, the attorney must effect service of a notice of appearance on the counsel listed above and file such notice with the Court no later than twenty-one (21) days before the Final Hearing. Any Opus Shareholder who does not timely file and serve a written objection complying with the terms of this paragraph shall be deemed to have waived, and shall be foreclosed from raising, any objection to the Settlement, and any untimely objection shall be barred. Any submissions by the Parties in opposition or response to objections shall be filed with the Court no later than seven (7) days before the Final Hearing.

Any objector who files and serves a timely, written objection in accordance with the instructions above and herein, may appear at the Final Hearing either in person or through counsel retained at the objector's expense. Objectors need not attend the Final Hearing, however, in order to have their objections considered by the Court. Timely objectors or their attorneys intending to appear at the Final Hearing are required to indicate in their written objection (or in a separate writing submitted to the counsel listed in the preceding paragraph no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Hearing) that they intend to appear at the Final Hearing and identify any witnesses they may call to testify and exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the Final Hearing. Objectors or their attorneys intending to appear at the Final Hearing must also, no later than twenty-one (21) days prior to the Final Hearing, file with the Court, and serve upon counsel listed in the above paragraph, a notice of intention to appear, setting forth the name and address of anyone intending to appear. Any objector who does not timely file and serve a

notice of intent to appear in accordance with this paragraph shall not be permitted to appear at the Final Hearing, except for good cause shown.

If you are a current holder of Opus common stock and do not take steps to appear in this action and object to the proposed Settlement, you will be bound by the Final Judgment of the Court and will forever be barred from raising an objection to such settlement in this or any other action or proceeding, and from pursuing any of the Released Claims.

If you held Opus common stock as of January 29, 2018 and continue to hold such stock, you may have certain rights in connection with the proposed Settlement. You may obtain further information by contacting Plaintiffs' Counsel at: Thomas J. McKenna, Esq., Gainey McKenna & Egleston, 440 Park Avenue South, 5th Floor, New York, NY 10016, Telephone: (212) 983-1300, tjmckenna@gme-law.com; or Laurence M. Rosen, The Rosen Law Firm, P.A., 275 Madison Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10016, Telephone: (212) 686-1060, lrosen@rosenlegal.com.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL THE COURT OR OPUS REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

EXHIBIT C

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 MELVYN KLEIN, Derivatively on 4 Behalf of Nominal Defendant OPUS Case No. 8:17-CV-00123(AB)(JPR) BANK, 5 Plaintiff, 6 V. 7 STEPHEN H. GORDON, MARK 8 CICIRELLI, MARK E. SCHAFFER, MICHAEL MEYER, ROBERT SHACKLETON, THOMAS M. BOWERS, CURTIS A. GLOVIER, and 9 DAVID KÍNG, 10 Defendants, 11 And 12 OPUS BANK, a California Corporation, 13 Nominal Defendant. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

Judge: Hon. André Birotte, Jr. Courtroom: 7B – First Street Action Filed: January 24, 2017

Error! Digit expected.

The Court has received a declaration attesting to the filing of the Notice with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission; and the publication of the Notice in a press release and/or the publication of the Notice in *Investor's Business Daily*; and the posting of the Notice, together with the Stipulation, on the Investors Relations portion of Opus's website in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, due and adequate notice having been given to Opus Shareholders as required by the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings in this *Klein* Action and otherwise being fully informed of the matters herein, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the *Klein* Action, including the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and all exhibits thereto, and over Parties to the Stipulation.
- 2. Based on evidence submitted by Opus's counsel, the Court finds that the Notice was published according to this Court's Preliminary Approval Order. This Court further finds that the form and content of the Notice, as previously preliminarily approved by the Court, complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1, satisfied the requirements of due process, and constituted due and sufficient notice of the matters set forth therein.
- 3. A full opportunity has been offered to Opus Shareholders to object to the proposed Settlement and to participate in the hearing thereon, and as such, all Opus Shareholders are bound by this Order.

- 4. The Settlement is found to be fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of Opus and Opus Shareholders.
- 5. The Court finds, for settlement purposes, that: (1) the *Klein* Action was properly brought as a shareholder derivative suit pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1; and (2) Plaintiff Melvyn Klein adequately represented the interests of Opus Shareholders in enforcing the rights of Opus.
- 6. The Stipulation and the terms of the proposed Settlement set forth therein are, in all respects, hereby finally approved. The Parties to the Stipulation are directed to consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Stipulation.
- 7. In accordance with Paragraph 1(p) of the Stipulation, for purposes of this Final Judgment the term "Released Persons" shall mean: (a) Opus and the Defendants, as well as any person, partnership, bank, firm, corporation, limited liability company, trust or other entity or organization in which Opus or any Defendant has a controlling interest or which is or was related to or affiliated with any of them; and (b) with respect to each of the Persons in subsection (a), their respective past, present or future directors and officers, including but not limited to Richard A. Sanchez, Michael L. Allison, Marcos Alvarado and Norman B. Rice; and their respective past, present or future employees, insurers, reinsurers, attorneys, agents, partners, principals, advisors, investment advisors, auditors, accountants, trustees, underwriters, investment bankers, subsidiaries, parents, successors, predecessors, heirs, Immediate Family, and anyone acting or purporting to act for or on behalf of any of them or their successors.
- 8. In accordance with Paragraph 1(o) of the Stipulation, for purposes of this Final Judgment the term "Released Claims" shall mean: any and all claims, rights, demands, causes of action or liabilities of any kind, nature and character whatsoever (including, but not limited to, any claims for damages, interest, attorneys' fees, expert or consulting fees, and any other costs, expenses or liability whatsoever), whether based on federal, state, local, statutory or common law, or any other law, rule or

regulation, whether foreign or domestic, whether fixed or contingent, accrued or unaccrued, liquidated or unliquidated, at law or in equity, matured or unmatured, whether known or unknown including, without limitation, Unknown Claims (as defined below) (collectively "Claims") against any of the Released Persons that have been, could have been, or could in the future be, asserted in the Derivative Actions or in any other forum or proceeding by any Person (including but not limited to Plaintiffs) derivatively on behalf of Opus, or by Opus itself against any of the Released Persons that arise out of or are related, directly or indirectly, in any way to:

- (a) any of the facts, matters, occurrences, actions, practices, conduct, events, transactions, statements, disclosures, representations, misrepresentations, omissions, or failures to act that were involved, set forth, referred to, asserted, alleged, or that could have been alleged in the Derivative Actions including, but not limited to, claims for violations of Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder, breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, mismanagement, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, misappropriation of information, unjust enrichment, insider trading, contribution and indemnification, money damages, disgorgement, any and all demands, actions, damages, claims, rights or causes of action, or liabilities whatsoever; or
- (b) that would have been barred by res judicata had either of the Derivative Actions been fully litigated to a final judgment,

provided that Released Claims shall not include claims to enforce the Settlement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, "Released Claims" does not include (1) claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement or its terms and (2) the claims alleged in *Schwartz v. Opus Bank, et al.*, No. 2:16-CV-7991 (C.D. Cal.).

9. In accordance with Paragraph 1(s) of the Stipulation, for purposes of this Final Judgment the term "Unknown Claims" shall mean: any Released Claims that Plaintiffs, Opus or any Opus Shareholder (claiming in the right of, or on behalf of, the Bank) does not know or suspect to exist in his, her or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Persons which, if known by him, her or it, might have affected his, her or its settlement with and release of the Released Persons, or might have affected his, her or its decision not to object to this Settlement. Unknown Claims include those claims in which some or all of the facts comprising the claim may be suspected, or even undisclosed or hidden. With respect to any and all Released Claims, Plaintiffs, and Opus stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, they shall expressly waive, and every Opus Shareholder shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, expressly waived the provisions, rights, and benefits of California Civil Code § 1542, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

Plaintiffs and Opus shall expressly waive, and every Opus Shareholder shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, expressly waived any and all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law or foreign law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent in effect to California Civil Code § 1542.

Plaintiffs, Opus and any Opus Shareholder may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, but Plaintiffs and Opus shall expressly have, and every Opus Shareholder shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released any and all Released Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected,

contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, reckless, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. Plaintiffs and Opus acknowledge, and every Opus Shareholder shall be deemed by operation of the Final Judgment to have acknowledged, that the foregoing waivers were separately bargained for and a key element of the Settlement.

- 10. This *Klein* Action and all claims contained therein, as well as all Released Claims, are hereby dismissed with prejudice in their entirety, on the merits, as against all Released Persons. Each party shall bear its own fees and costs, except as set forth herein.
- Opus Shareholders, on behalf of themselves, and each of their respective personal representatives, Immediate Family, trustees, heirs, executors, administrators, parent entities, associates, affiliates, predecessors, successors and assigns, and any other Person claiming (now or in the future) to be acting on behalf of any of them, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, settled and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Persons and shall be permanently barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, participating in, continuing, maintaining, asserting or prosecuting any Released Claim against any of the Released Persons, or assisting any Person in instituting, commencing, participating in, continuing, maintaining, asserting or prosecuting any Released Claim against any of the Released Persons. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any of the Parties to enforce the terms of this Stipulation.
- 12. Upon the Effective Date, the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released,

relinquished, and discharged Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims (including Unknown Claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the defense, institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Released Claims. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any of the Parties to enforce the terms of this Stipulation.

- 13. Pursuant to the Stipulation, the Effective Date of the Settlement shall not occur until, among other things, the *Dillard* Action has been dismissed with prejudice and that dismissal order is Final.
- 14. Plaintiffs' Counsel in the action are hereby awarded attorneys' fees and a reimbursement of expenses collectively totaling \$______ ("Fee and Expense Award"). This Court finds that this amount is fair and reasonable under the facts and circumstances of this case. Payment of such award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses shall be made in accordance with the provisions of the Stipulation.
- 15. Plaintiffs are each awarded Service Awards in the amount of \$2,500, which shall be funded from Plaintiffs' Counsel's Fee and Expense Award.
- 16. This Final Judgment, the Stipulation and all of its provisions, and any negotiations, proceedings or agreements relating to the Stipulation and the Settlement, and any matters arising in connection with such negotiations, proceedings or agreements, and any acts performed or documents executed pursuant to or in furtherance of this Stipulation:
- a) shall not be offered or received against any of the Released Persons as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission of any kind;
- b) shall not be offered or received against any of the Released Persons as evidence of an admission by any of those Released Persons with respect to the truth of any fact alleged in any of the Derivative Actions or the validity of any Released Claim, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of the Released Persons;

- c) shall not be offered or received against the Released Persons as evidence of any fault, misrepresentation, omission or other actionable conduct with respect to any statement or written document approved or made by any of the Released Persons;
- d) shall not be offered or received against the Released Persons as evidence of any liability, negligence, fault or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Released Persons, in any other civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of this Stipulation; provided, however, that if this Stipulation is approved by the Court, the Released Persons may refer to it to effectuate the release of Released Claims and other liability protections granted them hereunder;
- e) shall not be construed against any of the Released Persons as an admission or concession that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount that could be or would have been recovered after trial;
- f) shall not be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession or presumption against Plaintiffs that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defenses asserted by the Defendants have any merit, or that damages recoverable in the Derivative Actions would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount; and
- g) shall not, in the event of a Termination, be used by any Party for any purpose in any trial in any of the Derivative Actions, *provided that*, any Party may file or introduce this Stipulation and/or the Final Judgment in any action or proceeding that may be brought to enforce the terms of this Stipulation and/or the Final Judgment, or any action or proceeding related to rights or claims of Defendants relating to indemnification and/or advancement in connection with the Derivative Actions.
- 17. Except in the event of a Termination, the Parties agree not to assert under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any similar law, rule or regulation,

that the Derivative Actions were brought or defended in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. The Parties agree that the terms of this Settlement were negotiated at arms' length and in good faith by the Parties and reflect a settlement that was reached voluntarily based upon adequate information and after consultation with their respective experienced legal counsel.

- 18. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Judgment, this Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Parties to the Stipulation and the Released Parties with respect to all matters related to the *Klein* Action and over all proceedings related to the implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation, including all matters pertaining to requests for attorneys' fees and expenses.
- 19. Any order regarding an award of attorneys' fees and expenses, or any appeal, modification or change of such an order, shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of this Final Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Final Judgment.
- 20. This Settlement shall be a final and complete resolution of all disputes among the parties. No party to the Stipulation may assert in any forum that the Derivative Actions were brought, commenced or prosecuted by the Plaintiffs or their counsel in bad faith or that the Derivative Actions were not filed or raised in good faith or was not settled voluntarily after negotiating at arm's-length and in good faith after consultation with competent legal counsel.
- 21. Nothing in this Final Judgment constitutes or reflects a waiver, release or discharge of any rights or claims of Defendants against their insurers, or their insurers' subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, assigns, affiliates, or representatives.
- 22. The Parties are hereby authorized, without further approval of the Court, to unanimously agree to and adopt in writing such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Stipulation and all exhibits attached thereto, provided that such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Stipulation are done in accordance with the terms of Paragraph 21 of the Stipulation, are not materially inconsistent with

this Final Judgment and do not materially limit the rights of Opus Shareholders or the Released Persons under the Stipulation.

- 23. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, then this Final Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation, and the provisions of Paragraph 17 of the Stipulation shall apply.
- 24. The provisions of this Final Judgment constitute a full and complete adjudication of the matters considered and adjudged herein, and the Court determines that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of judgment. The Clerk is hereby directed to immediately enter this Final Judgment.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of ______, 2018.

The Honorable André Birotte, Jr. United States District Court Judge